To: Judiciary A

By: Representative Yancey

HOUSE BILL NO. 1257

- AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 93-5-24, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO REDUCE PARENTAL ALIENATION BY REQUIRING A HEARING ON PETITIONS FOR
- 3 MODIFICATION OR TERMINATION OF CUSTODY OR VISITATION MATTERS
- 4 WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; TO AMEND SECTION 93-5-34, MISSISSIPPI CODE
- 5 OF 1972, TO REDUCE PARENTAL ALIENATION OF MILITARY PARENTS BY
- 6 REQUIRING A HEARING ON PETITIONS FOR MODIFICATION OR TERMINATION
- 7 OF CUSTODY OR VISITATION MATTERS WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; AND FOR
- 8 RELATED PURPOSES.
- 9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:
- SECTION 1. Section 93-5-24, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
- 11 amended as follows:
- 12 93-5-24. (1) Custody shall be awarded as follows according
- 13 to the best interests of the child:
- 14 (a) Physical and legal custody to both parents jointly
- 15 pursuant to subsections (2) through (7).
- 16 (b) Physical custody to both parents jointly pursuant
- 17 to subsections (2) through (7) and legal custody to either parent.
- 18 (c) Legal custody to both parents jointly pursuant to
- 19 subsections (2) through (7) and physical custody to either parent.
- 20 (d) Physical and legal custody to either parent.

21 ((e)	Upon	а	finding	bv	the	court	that	both	of	the

- 22 parents of the child have abandoned or deserted such child or that
- 23 both such parents are mentally, morally or otherwise unfit to rear
- 24 and train the child the court may award physical and legal custody
- 25 to:
- 26 (i) The person in whose home the child has been
- 27 living in a wholesome and stable environment; or
- 28 (ii) Physical and legal custody to any other
- 29 person deemed by the court to be suitable and able to provide
- 30 adequate and proper care and guidance for the child.
- In making an order for custody to either parent or to both
- 32 parents jointly, the court, in its discretion, may require the
- 33 parents to submit to the court a plan for the implementation of
- 34 the custody order.
- 35 (2) Joint custody may be awarded where irreconcilable
- 36 differences is the ground for divorce, in the discretion of the
- 37 court, upon application of both parents.
- 38 (3) In other cases, joint custody may be awarded, in the
- 39 discretion of the court, upon application of one or both parents.
- 40 (4) There shall be a presumption that joint custody is in
- 41 the best interest of a minor child where both parents have agreed
- 42 to an award of joint custody.
- 43 (5) (a) For the purposes of this section, "joint custody"
- 44 means joint physical and legal custody.

45		(b)	For th	ne purpo	ses	of th	nis	sectio	n,	"phys	sical	
46	custody"	means	those	periods	of	time	in	which	a	child	resides	with
47	or is und	der the	e care	and sur	ervi	ision	of	one (1	.)	of the	e parents	S.

- (c) For the purposes of this section, "joint physical custody" means that each of the parents shall have significant periods of physical custody. Joint physical custody shall be shared by the parents in such a way so as to assure a child of frequent and continuing contact with both parents.
- 53 (d) For the purposes of this section, "legal custody"
 54 means the decision-making rights, the responsibilities and the
 55 authority relating to the health, education and welfare of a
 56 child.
 - (e) For the purposes of this section, "joint legal custody" means that the parents or parties share the decision-making rights, the responsibilities and the authority relating to the health, education and welfare of a child. An award of joint legal custody obligates the parties to exchange information concerning the health, education and welfare of the minor child, and to confer with one another in the exercise of decision-making rights, responsibilities and authority.
 - An award of joint physical and legal custody obligates the parties to exchange information concerning the health, education and welfare of the minor child, and unless allocated, apportioned or decreed, the parents or parties shall confer with one another

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

- in the exercise of decision-making rights, responsibilities and authority.
- 71 (6) (a) Any order for joint custody may be modified or
- 72 terminated upon the petition of both parents or upon the petition
- 73 of one (1) parent showing that a material change in circumstances
- 74 has occurred.
- 75 (b) When any parent files a petition to modify or
- 76 terminate custody, joint custody or visitation, or enforce the
- 77 terms of a custody, joint custody or visitation order, the court
- 78 shall have a hearing to address the petition no more than
- 79 forty-five (45) days of the filing of the petition. Upon hearing
- 80 the petition, the court shall issue an order to resolve the issue
- 81 of the petition no more than ten (10) days from the date of the
- 82 hearing.
- 83 (7) There shall be no presumption that it is in the best
- 84 interest of a child that a mother be awarded either legal or
- 85 physical custody.
- 86 (8) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, access to
- 87 records and information pertaining to a minor child, including,
- 88 but not limited to, medical, dental and school records, shall not
- 89 be denied to a parent because the parent is not the child's
- 90 custodial parent.
- 91 (9) (a) (i) In every proceeding where the custody of a
- 92 child is in dispute, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that
- 93 it is detrimental to the child and not in the best interest of the

94	child	to	be	placed	in	sole	custody,	ioint	legal	custody	or -	ioint

- 95 physical custody of a parent who has a history of perpetrating
- 96 family violence. The court may find a history of perpetrating
- 97 family violence if the court finds, by a preponderance of the
- 98 evidence, one (1) incident of family violence that has resulted in
- 99 serious bodily injury to, or a pattern of family violence against,
- 100 the party making the allegation or a family household member of
- 101 either party. The court shall make written findings to document
- 102 how and why the presumption was or was not triggered.
- 103 (ii) This presumption may only be rebutted by a
- 104 preponderance of the evidence.
- 105 (iii) In determining whether the presumption set
- 106 forth in subsection (9) has been overcome, the court shall
- 107 consider all of the following factors:
- 108 1. Whether the perpetrator of family violence
- 109 has demonstrated that giving sole or joint physical or legal
- 110 custody of a child to the perpetrator is in the best interest of
- 111 the child because of the other parent's absence, mental illness,
- 112 substance abuse or such other circumstances which affect the best
- 113 interest of the child or children;
- 114 2. Whether the perpetrator has successfully
- 115 completed a batterer's treatment program;
- 116 3. Whether the perpetrator has successfully
- 117 completed a program of alcohol or drug abuse counseling if the
- 118 court determines that counseling is appropriate;

120	completed a parenting class if the court determines the class to
121	be appropriate;
122	5. If the perpetrator is on probation or
123	parole, whether he or she is restrained by a protective order
124	granted after a hearing, and whether he or she has complied with
125	its terms and conditions; and
126	6. Whether the perpetrator of domestic
127	violence has committed any further acts of domestic violence.
128	(iv) The court shall make written findings to
129	document how and why the presumption was or was not rebutted.
130	(b) (i) If custody is awarded to a suitable third
131	person, it shall not be until the natural grandparents of the
132	child have been excluded and such person shall not allow access to
133	a violent parent except as ordered by the court.
134	(ii) If the court finds that both parents have a
135	history of perpetrating family violence, but the court finds that
136	parental custody would be in the best interest of the child,
137	custody may be awarded solely to the parent less likely to
138	continue to perpetrate family violence. In such a case, the court
139	may mandate completion of a treatment program by the custodial
140	parent.
141	(c) If the court finds that the allegations of domestic

4. Whether the perpetrator has successfully

violence are completely unfounded, the chancery court shall order

the alleging party to pay all court costs and reasonable

142

143

144	attorney's	fees	incurred	рÃ	the	defending	party	in	responding	to
145	such allega	ations	5.							

- (d) (i) A court may award visitation by a parent who committed domestic or family violence only if the court finds that adequate provision for the safety of the child and the parent who is a victim of domestic or family violence can be made.
- 150 (ii) In a visitation order, a court may take any 151 of the following actions:
- 1. Order an exchange of the child to occur in a protected setting;
- 2. Order visitation supervised in a manner to be determined by the court;
- 3. Order the perpetrator of domestic or
 family violence to attend and complete to the satisfaction of the
 court a program of intervention for perpetrators or other
 designated counseling as a condition of visitation;
- 4. Order the perpetrator of domestic or
 family violence to abstain from possession or consumption of
 alcohol or controlled substances during the visitation and for
 twenty-four (24) hours preceding the visitation;
- 5. Order the perpetrator of domestic or family violence to pay a fee to defray the cost of supervised visitation;
- 167 6. Prohibit overnight visitation;

168		7. Requ	uire	a bond	from	the	perpet	trat	or c	ρf
169	domestic or family	violence	for	the re	turn a	and s	safety	of	the	
170	child; or									

- 8. Impose any other condition that is deemed necessary to provide for the safety of the child, the victim of family or domestic violence, or other family or household member.
- (iii) Whether or not visitation is allowed, the court may order the address of the child or the victim of family or domestic violence to be kept confidential.
- (e) The court may refer but shall not order an adult
 who is a victim of family or domestic violence to attend
 counseling relating to the victim's status or behavior as a
 victim, individually or with the perpetrator of domestic or family
 violence, as a condition of receiving custody of a child or as a
 condition of visitation.
- (f) If a court allows a family or household member to supervise visitation, the court shall establish conditions to be followed during visitation.
- SECTION 2. Section 93-5-34, Mississippi Code of 1972, is amended as follows:
- 93-5-34. (1) It is the purpose of this section to provide a means by which to facilitate a fair, efficient and swift process to resolve matters regarding custody and visitation when a parent receives temporary duty, deployment or mobilization orders from the military. It is also the purpose of this section to

193	facilitate continued communication between military parents and
194	their minor children when the parent is on temporary duty or under
195	deployment or mobilization orders.

(2) As used in this section:

- 197 (a) The term "deployment" means the temporary transfer 198 of a service member serving in an active-duty status to another 199 location in support of combat or some other military operation.
- 200 (b) The term "mobilization" means the call-up of a
 201 National Guard or Reserve service member to extended active duty
 202 status. For purposes of this definition, "mobilization" does not
 203 include National Guard or Reserve annual training.
- 204 (c) The term "temporary duty" means the transfer of a 205 service member from one military base to a different location, 206 usually another base, for a limited period of time to accomplish 207 training or to assist in the performance of a noncombat mission.
- 208 (d) The term "family member" means a person related by
 209 blood or marriage and may include, for purposes of this statute, a
 210 step-parent, grandparent, aunt, uncle, adult sibling or other
 211 person related by blood or marriage.
- 212 (3) When a parent who has custody, or has joint custody with 213 primary physical custody, receives temporary duty, deployment or 214 mobilization orders from the military that involve moving a 215 substantial distance from the parent's residence having a material 216 effect on the parent's ability to exercise custody 217 responsibilities:

219	the parent's absence shall end no later than ten (10) days after
220	the parent returns, but shall not impair the discretion of the
221	court to conduct a hearing for emergency custody upon return of
222	the parent and within ten (10) days of the filing of a verified
223	motion for emergency custody alleging an immediate danger of
224	irreparable harm to the child; and
225	(b) The temporary duty, mobilization or deployment of
226	the service member and the temporary disruption to the child's
227	schedule shall not be factors in a determination of change of
228	circumstances if a motion is filed to transfer custody from the
229	service member.
230	(c) Any order entered under this section shall require
231	that:

Any temporary custody order for the child during

- (i) The nondeployed parent shall make the child or
- 233 children reasonably available to the deployed parent when the
- 234 latter parent has leave;
- 235 (ii) The nondeployed parent shall facilitate
- 236 opportunities for telephonic, "webcam" and electronic mail contact
- 237 between the deployed parent and the child or children during
- 238 deployment; and

- 239 (iii) The deployed parent shall provide timely
- 240 information regarding the parent's leave schedule to the
- 241 nondeployed parent.

242	(4) If the parent with visitation rights receives military
243	temporary duty, deployment or mobilization orders that involve
244	moving a substantial distance from the parent's residence or
245	otherwise have a material effect on the parent's ability to
246	exercise rights, the court otherwise may delegate the parent's
247	visitation rights, or a portion thereof, to a family member with a
248	close and substantial relationship to the service member's minor
249	child for the duration of the parent's absence, if delegating
250	visitation rights is in the child's best interest.

- (5) Upon motion of a parent who has received military temporary duty, deployment or mobilization orders, the court shall, for a good cause shown, hold an expedited hearing in custody and visitation matters instituted under this section when the military duties of the parent have a material effect on the parent's ability, or anticipated ability, to appear in person at a regularly scheduled hearing.
- (6) Upon motion of a parent who has received military temporary duty, deployment or mobilization orders, the court shall, upon reasonable advance notice and for good cause shown, allow the parent to present testimony and evidence by affidavit or electronic means in custody and visitation matters instituted under this section when the military duties of the parent have a material effect on the parent's ability to appear in person at a regularly scheduled teleconference, or the Internet.

266	(7) Nothing in this section shall alter the duty of the
267	court to consider the best interest of the child in deciding
268	custody or visitation matters.
269	(8) When any parent files a petition to modify or terminate
270	custody, joint custody or visitation, or enforce the terms of a
271	custody, joint custody or visitation order, the court shall have a
272	hearing to address the petition no more than forty-five (45) days
273	of the filing of the petition. Upon hearing the petition, the
274	court shall issue an order to resolve the issue of the petition

(* * * *9) Any hearing pursuant to this section shall take precedence over all other causes not involving the public interest, to the end that these cases may be expedited.

no more than ten (10) days from the date of the hearing.

279 **SECTION 3.** This act shall take effect and be in force from 280 and after July 1, 2023.