MISSISSIPPI LEGISLATURE

**REGULAR SESSION 2018** 

By: Representative Dixon

To: Education

HOUSE BILL NO. 752

AN ACT TO CREATE NEW SECTIONS 37-173-16 AND 37-175-16, 1 2 MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO REQUIRE SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE 3 ADDITIONAL DYSLEXIA SCREENINGS, SPEECH, LANGUAGE, VOICE AND 4 FLUENCY SCREENINGS AND COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATIONS FOR STUDENTS AT 5 ANY TIME THE STUDENT IS ENROLLED IN PUBLIC SCHOOL; TO PROVIDE THAT 6 THE SCREENINGS AND EVALUATIONS MAY BE CONDUCTED AT ANY TIME DEEMED 7 NECESSARY BASED ON RECOMMENDATIONS OF A TEACHER OR UPON THE REQUEST OF A PARENT OR LEGAL GUARDIAN UPON OBSERVING A SUBSTANTIAL 8 9 DEFICIENCY IN A STUDENT'S ABILITY TO MAINTAIN ADEOUATE ACADEMIC 10 PERFORMANCE DUE TO THE STUDENT'S EXPERIENCING DYSLEXIA-LIKE OR 11 SPEECH-LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT-LIKE SYMPTOMS; TO REQUIRE SCHOOL 12 DISTRICTS TO PERFORM A COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION OF A STUDENT WHO 13 FAILS THE DYSLEXIA SCREENER OR THE SPEECH, LANGUAGE, VOICE AND FLUENCY SCREENER; TO PROVIDE THAT THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE 14 15 SUBSEQUENT SCREENING AND COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION SHALL BE PAID BY 16 THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE STUDENT'S ENROLLMENT AND ATTENDANCE; TO 17 IMPOSE A \$2,500.00 FINE UPON SCHOOL DISTRICTS FOR EACH INSTANCE OF 18 DENYING TO ACCEPT A SECOND EVALUATION; TO AMEND SECTIONS 37-173-15 AND 37-175-15, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, IN CONFORMITY TO THE 19 20 PRECEDING PROVISIONS; AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES.

21 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:

22 **SECTION 1.** The following shall be codified as Section

23 37-173-16, Mississippi Code of 1972:

24 37-173-16. (1) Each local school district shall adopt a

25 policy to ensure that students enrolled in kindergarten or Grade 1

26 who fail the dyslexia screener required under Section 37-173-15,

27 and students enrolled in subsequent grades who demonstrate factors

H. B. No. 752 G1/2 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 1 (DJ\KW) commonly associated with dyslexia and related disorders, as evidenced by the observation of a classroom teacher, parent or legal guardian, will be provided a comprehensive evaluation to be administered by a dyslexia diagnostician or any licensed professional authorized under Section 37-173-15(1)(b).

33 (2)When a student in Grade 2 or higher demonstrates a deficiency in the ability to maintain adequate academic 34 35 performance due to the student's experiencing dyslexia-like 36 symptoms, as evidenced by the observation of a classroom teacher, 37 parent or legal guardian, the student shall be screened in accordance with Section 37-173-15 and through the early literacy 38 39 and numeracy screening required under Section 37-23-16. If the 40 student fails the screenings, the parent or legal guardian of the student shall be notified of the failure and that the child is in 41 42 need of intervention. The appropriate time for students described 43 in the subsections to be screened shall depend upon multiple 44 factors including:

45

(a) The student's reading performance;

46 (b) The student's difficulties in reading;

47 (c) Poor response to supplemental, scientifically based48 reading instruction; and

49 (d) Input from the student's teacher(s) and parents or 50 legal guardians.

51 (3) (a) A Response to Intervention (RTI), established in 52 accordance with Section 37-173-9(2), shall be used to address the

53 needs of the student and shall initiate the right of the parent or 54 legal guardian, in their discretion, to request a comprehensive 55 evaluation of the student pending the results of the RTI. The use of the RTI shall not delay or deny the administration of a 56 57 comprehensive evaluation when the purpose of intervention is 58 revealed through teacher or parent observations. If the RTI indicates the possibility of dyslexia, the student shall receive a 59 60 comprehensive evaluation upon the request of the student's parent 61 or legal guardian.

62 (b) When a parent or legal guardian requests a 63 comprehensive evaluation be administered, the school district shall cause a dyslexia diagnostician or other licensed 64 65 professional to administer the evaluation in compliance with 66 Section 37-173-15. Upon completion of the evaluation the school 67 district shall review the student's data history, evaluation 68 results and diagnostician's diagnosis to determine whether the 69 student has dyslexia and qualifies under the Individuals with 70 Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) to receive services and funding 71 under the provisions of the IDEA before proceeding to the 72 development of a 504 Plan for each dyslexic student eligible for 73 educational services, equipment or both under Chapter 23, Title 74 37, Mississippi Code of 1972.

75 (c) If the school district determines the student does 76 not have dyslexia for purposes of IDEA or the development of a 504 77 Plan, the parent or legal guardian shall be notified of the

H. B. No. 752 **~ OFFICIAL ~** 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 3 (DJ\KW) 78 districts determination, the parent or legal quardian may exercise 79 the option to have a subsequent independent comprehensive evaluation performed, administered by a dyslexia diagnostician or 80 any licensed professional authorized under Section 81 82 37-173-15(1)(b), the cost of such evaluation to be paid by the 83 local school district of the student's enrollment and attendance. 84 The resulting diagnosis of the subsequent comprehensive evaluation 85 must be accepted by the school district for purposes of 86 determining eligibility for placement within a dyslexia therapy program within the current school to receive direct intervention 87 88 or to receive a Mississippi Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship for placement in a dyslexia program in another public school or 89 90 nonpublic special purpose school. The failure of the school district to accept a subsequent independent comprehensive 91 92 evaluation administered in accordance to the provisions of Section 93 37-173-15(1)(b), which results in a diagnosis of dyslexia, and the 94 denial of adequate services based on the rejected evaluation, shall result in the district being fined Two Thousand Five Hundred 95 96 Dollars (\$2,500.00) for each instance of denial reported to the 97 State Department of Education. School districts shall not use any 98 adequate education program funds for the payment of any fines.

99 SECTION 2. The following shall be codified as Section 100 37-175-16, Mississippi Code of 1972:

101 <u>37-175-16.</u> (1) Each local school district shall adopt a 102 policy to ensure that students enrolled in kindergarten or Grade 1

H. B. No. 752 **~ OFFICIAL ~** 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 4 (DJ\KW) 103 who fail the speech, language, voice and fluency screener required 104 under Section 37-175-15, and students enrolled in subsequent 105 grades who demonstrate factors commonly associated with 106 speech-language impairments, as evidenced by the observation of a 107 classroom teacher, parent or legal guardian, will be provided a 108 comprehensive evaluation to be administered by a speech-language 109 pathologist authorized under Section 37-175-15(4).

110 When a student in Grade 2 or higher demonstrates (2)111 a deficiency in the ability to maintain adequate academic performance due to the student's demonstration of speech-language 112 113 impairment-like symptoms, as evidenced by the observation of a classroom teacher, parent or legal guardian, the student shall be 114 115 screened in accordance with Section 37-175-15 and through the 116 early literacy and numeracy screening required under Section 117 37-23-16. If the student fails the screenings, the parent or legal guardian of the student shall be notified of the failure and 118 119 that the child is in need of intervention. The appropriate time 120 for students described in the subsections to be screened shall 121 depend upon multiple factors including:

122

(a) Stuttering;

- 123 (b) Impaired articulation;
- 124 (c) Language and voice impairments; and
- 125 (d) Fluency disorders.

(3) (a) A Response to Intervention (RTI), established in
accordance with Section 37-175-9(1), shall be used to address the

H. B. No. 752 **~ OFFICIAL ~** 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 5 (DJ\KW) 128 needs of the student and shall initiate the right of the parent or 129 legal guardian, in their discretion, to request a comprehensive 130 evaluation of the student pending the results of the RTI. The use 131 of the RTI shall not delay or deny the administration of a 132 comprehensive evaluation when the purpose of intervention is 133 revealed through teacher or parent observations. If the RTI 134 indicates the possibility of a speech-language impairment, the 135 student shall receive a comprehensive evaluation upon the request 136 of the student's parent or legal guardian.

137 (b) When a parent or legal guardian requests a comprehensive evaluation be administered, the school district 138 139 shall cause a speech-language pathologist to administer the 140 evaluation in compliance with Section 37-175-15. Upon completion of the evaluation the school district shall review the student's 141 142 data history, evaluation results and speech-language pathologist's 143 diagnosis to determine whether the student has a speech-language 144 impairment and qualifies under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) to receive services and funding under the 145 146 provisions of the IDEA before proceeding to the development of a 147 504 Plan for each dyslexic student eligible for educational 148 services, equipment or both under Chapter 23, Title 37, 149 Mississippi Code of 1972.

150 (c) If the school district determines the student does 151 not have a speech-language impairment for purposes of IDEA or the 152 development of a 504 Plan, the parent or legal guardian shall be

H. B. No. 752 **~ OFFICIAL ~** 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 6 (DJ\KW) 153 notified of the districts determination, the parent or legal 154 guardian may exercise the option to have a subsequent independent 155 comprehensive evaluation performed, administered by a 156 speech-language pathologist authorized under Section 37-175-15(4), 157 the cost of such evaluation to be paid by the local school 158 district of the student's enrollment and attendance. The resulting diagnosis of the subsequent comprehensive evaluation 159 160 must be accepted by the school district for purposes of 161 determining eligibility for placement within a speech-language 162 instructional program within the current school to receive direct 163 intervention or to receive a Mississippi Speech-Language Therapy 164 Scholarship for placement in a speech-language instructional 165 program in another public school or nonpublic special purpose 166 The failure of the school district to accept a subsequent school. 167 independent comprehensive evaluation administered in accordance to 168 the provisions of Section 37-175-15(4), which results in a 169 speech-language impairment diagnosis, and the denial of adequate 170 services based on the rejected evaluation, shall result in the 171 district being fined Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) 172 for each instance of denial reported to the State Department of 173 Education. School districts shall not use any adequate education 174 program funds for the payment of any fines.

SECTION 3. Section 37-173-15, Mississippi Code of 1972, is amended as follows:

H. B. No. 752 **\* OFFICIAL \*** 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 7 (DJ\KW) 177 37-173-15. (1) (a) Each local school district shall adopt 178 a policy to ensure that students will be screened by a screener approved by the State Board of Education in the spring of 179 kindergarten and the fall of Grade 1. The component of the 180 181 screening must include: 182 (i) Phonological awareness and phonemic awareness; 183 Sound symbol recognition; (ii) 184 Alphabet knowledge; (iii) 185 (iv) Decoding skills; 186 (V) Encoding skills; and 187 (vi) Rapid naming. 188 (b) If a student fails the screener, the parent or 189 legal guardian will be notified of the results of the screener. 190 Subsequent comprehensive dyslexia evaluations, as authorized under Section 37-173-16, may be administered by dyslexia diagnosticians 191 192 and other licensed professionals, including: 193 Psychologists, licensed under Chapter 31, (i) Title 73, Mississippi Code of 1972; 194 195 Psychometrists, licensed by the Mississippi (ii) 196 Department of Education, and in accordance with Chapter 31, Title 73, Section 27, Mississippi Code of 1972; or 197 Speech Language Pathologists, licensed under 198 (iii) 199 Chapter 38, Title 73, Mississippi Code of 1972. 200 If a student fails the screener, the school (C) district, \* \* \* shall perform a comprehensive dyslexia evaluation, 201

H. B. No. 752 **~ OFFICIAL ~** 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 8 (DJ\KW) such evaluation must be administered by <u>dyslexia diagnostician or</u> any of the licensed professionals identified under paragraph (b) of this subsection, in addition to providing students with the required Response to Intervention (RTI).

206 If a parent or legal guardian of a student who (d) 207 fails the dyslexia screener exercises the option to have a 208 subsequent independent evaluation performed, such evaluation shall 209 be administered by a dyslexia diagnostician or any of the licensed 210 professionals identified under paragraph (b) of this subsection, 211 the cost of which shall be paid by the local school district of the student's enrollment and attendance, and the resulting 212 213 diagnosis of the subsequent evaluation must be accepted by the 214 school district for purposes of determining eligibility for 215 placement within a dyslexia therapy program within the current school or to receive a Mississippi Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship 216 217 for placement in a dyslexia program in another public school or 218 nonpublic school.

(2) The screening of all compulsory-school-age children enrolled in each local public school district for dyslexia required by subsection (1)(a) of this section shall in no manner nullify or defeat the requirements of the pilot programs adopted by the State Department of Education to test certain students enrolled or enrolling in public schools for dyslexia under Section 37-23-15.

H. B. No. 752

226 **SECTION 4.** Section 37-175-15, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 227 amended as follows:

37-175-15. (1) Each local school district shall adopt a
policy to ensure that students will be screened for speech,
language, voice and fluency disorders before the end of Grade 1.
(2) If a student fails the screener, the parent or legal

232 guardian will be notified of the results of the screener.

(3) If a student fails the screener, the school district, in
its discretion, may perform a <u>subsequent</u> comprehensive
speech-language evaluation.

236 (4) If a parent or a legal guardian of a student who fails 237 the speech-language screener exercises the option to have a 238 subsequent independent comprehensive evaluation performed, such 239 evaluation shall be administered by a speech-language pathologist, as authorized under Section 37-175-16, in addition to providing 240 241 students with the required Response to Intervention (RTI). The 242 cost of the subsequent evaluation obtained by the parents shall be \* \* \* paid by the local school district of the student's 243 244 enrollment and attendance, and the resulting diagnosis must be 245 accepted by the school district for eligibility in the area of 246 speech-language in accordance with the procedures mandated by the 247 federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) for a 248 placement in a speech-language program within the current school 249 or to receive a Mississippi speech-language therapy scholarship for placement in a speech-language program in a nonpublic special 250

~ OFFICIAL ~

H. B. No. 752 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 10 (DJ\KW) 251 purpose school. A parent or legal guardian may provide written 252 notification to the local school district opting out of the 253 mandatory screening provided by the district. The provisions of 254 this section shall not apply to home schooled students.

255 **SECTION 5.** This act shall take effect and be in force from 256 and after July 1, 2018.

H. B. No. 752 18/HR26/R1482.1 PAGE 11 (DJ\KW) A OFFICIAL ~ ST: Dyslexia and speech therapy screenings; require school districts to provide for and accept independent evaluations.