By: Representatives Formby, Akins, Aldridge, Barnett, Beckett, Bentz, Bounds, Chism, Davis, Denny, Ellington, Fillingane, Hamilton (6th), Janus, Lott, Martinson, Mayhall, Mims, Moore, Reed, Reeves, Rotenberry, Simpson, Snowden, Turner, Wells-Smith, Zuber, Upshaw

To: Judiciary A

HOUSE BILL NO. 1283

- AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 11-1-63, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO PROVIDE THAT THE MANUFACTURER OR SELLER OF A PRODUCT SHALL NOT BE 2. LIABLE IN A PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACTION IF THE PRODUCT HAS NOT BEEN 3
- USED IN THE MANNER FOR WHICH IT WAS DESIGNED; AND FOR RELATED 4
- 5 PITEPOSES
- BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI: 6
- 7 **SECTION 1.** Section 11-1-63, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
- amended as follows: 8
- 9 11-1-63. Subject to the provisions of Section 11-1-64, in
- 10 any action for damages caused by a product except for commercial
- damage to the product itself: 11
- (a) The manufacturer or seller of the product shall not 12
- 13 be liable if the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of
- 14 the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the
- manufacturer or seller: 15
- 16 (i) 1. The product was defective because it
- deviated in a material way from the manufacturer's specifications 17
- or from otherwise identical units manufactured to the same 18
- 19 manufacturing specifications, or
- 20 2. The product was defective because it
- 21 failed to contain adequate warnings or instructions, or
- 22 The product was designed in a defective
- 23 manner, or
- The product breached an express warranty 2.4 4.
- or failed to conform to other express factual representations upon 25
- which the claimant justifiably relied in electing to use the 26
- 27 product; and

PAGE 1 (CJR\LH)

HR03/R738 H. B. No. 1283 04/HR03/R738

28 (ii) The defective condition rendered the product 29 unreasonably dangerous to the user or consumer; and 30 (iii) The defective and unreasonably dangerous 31 condition of the product proximately caused the damages for which 32 recovery is sought. 33 A product is not defective in design or formulation if the harm for which the claimant seeks to recover compensatory 34 35 damages was caused by an inherent characteristic of the product which is a generic aspect of the product that cannot be eliminated 36 37 without substantially compromising the product's usefulness or desirability and which is recognized by the ordinary person with 38 39 the ordinary knowledge common to the community. 40 (c) (i) In any action alleging that a product is defective because it failed to contain adequate warnings or 41 instructions pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the 42 manufacturer or seller shall not be liable if the claimant does 43 44 not prove by the preponderance of the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer or seller, the 45 manufacturer or seller knew or in light of reasonably available 46 47 knowledge should have known about the danger that caused the 48 damage for which recovery is sought and that the ordinary user or 49 consumer would not realize its dangerous condition. 50 (ii) An adequate product warning or instruction is 51 one that a reasonably prudent person in the same or similar 52 circumstances would have provided with respect to the danger and that communicates sufficient information on the dangers and safe 53 54 use of the product, taking into account the characteristics of, 55 and the ordinary knowledge common to an ordinary consumer who purchases the product; or in the case of a prescription drug, 56 medical device or other product that is intended to be used only 57 58 under the supervision of a physician or other licensed 59 professional person, taking into account the characteristics of, 60 and the ordinary knowledge common to, a physician or other

H. B. No. 1283 *HRO3/R738* 04/HR03/R738 PAGE 2 (CJR\LH)

- 61 licensed professional who prescribes the drug, device or other
- 62 product.
- (d) In any action alleging that a product is defective
- 64 pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the manufacturer or
- 65 seller shall not be liable if the claimant (i) had knowledge of a
- 66 condition of the product that was inconsistent with his safety;
- 67 (ii) appreciated the danger in the condition; and (iii)
- 68 deliberately and voluntarily chose to expose himself to the danger
- 69 in such a manner to register assent on the continuance of the
- 70 dangerous condition.
- 71 (e) In any action alleging that a product is defective
- 72 pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the manufacturer or
- 73 seller shall not be liable if the danger posed by the product is
- 74 known or is open and obvious to the user or consumer of the
- 75 product, or should have been known or open and obvious to the user
- 76 or consumer of the product, taking into account the
- 77 characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to, the
- 78 persons who ordinarily use or consume the product.
- 79 (f) In any action alleging that a product is defective
- 80 because of its design pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)3 of this
- 81 section, the manufacturer or product seller shall not be liable if
- 82 the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of the evidence
- 83 that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer
- 84 or seller:
- (i) The manufacturer or seller knew, or in light
- 86 of reasonably available knowledge or in the exercise of reasonable
- 87 care should have known, about the danger that caused the damage
- 88 for which recovery is sought; and
- 89 (ii) The product failed to function as expected
- 90 and there existed a feasible design alternative that would have to
- 91 a reasonable probability prevented the harm. A feasible design
- 92 alternative is a design that would have to a reasonable
- 93 probability prevented the harm without impairing the utility,

- 94 usefulness, practicality or desirability of the product to users
- 95 or consumers.
- 96 (g) (i) The manufacturer of a product who is found
- 97 liable for a defective product pursuant to paragraph (a) shall
- 98 indemnify a product seller for the costs of litigation, any
- 99 reasonable expenses, reasonable attorney's fees and any damages
- 100 awarded by the trier of fact unless the seller exercised
- 101 substantial control over that aspect of the design, testing,
- 102 manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that caused the
- 103 harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the seller altered
- 104 or modified the product, and the alteration or modification was a
- 105 substantial factor in causing the harm for which recovery of
- 106 damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the
- 107 defective condition of the product at the time he supplied same;
- 108 or the seller made an express factual representation about the
- 109 aspect of the product which caused the harm for which recovery of
- 110 damages is sought.
- (ii) Subparagraph (i) shall not apply unless the
- 112 seller has given prompt notice of the suit to the manufacturer
- 113 within ninety (90) days of the service of the complaint against
- 114 the seller.
- 115 (h) <u>In any action for products liability</u>, the
- 116 manufacturer or seller shall not be liable if the product has not
- 117 been used in the manner for which the product was designed.
- 118 (i) Nothing in this section shall be construed to
- 119 eliminate any common law defense to an action for damages caused
- 120 by a product.
- 121 SECTION 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from
- 122 and after July 1, 2004.