By: Representative Watson

To: Judiciary A

HOUSE BILL NO. 399

AN ACT TO REENACT SECTIONS 41-29-501 THROUGH 41-29-536, 1 MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, WHICH PROVIDE THE AUTHORITY FOR THE 2 INTERCEPTION OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS BY THE BUREAU OF 3 NARCOTICS; TO AMEND SECTION 41-29-537, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, 4 TO EXTEND THE REPEAL DATE ON THE REENACTED SECTIONS; AND FOR 5 6 RELATED PURPOSES. 7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI: SECTION 1. Section 41-29-501, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 8 reenacted as follows: 9 10 41-29-501. As used in this article, the following terms 11 shall have the meaning ascribed to them herein unless the context requires otherwise: 12 13 "Aggrieved person" means a person who was a party (a) to an intercepted wire, oral or other communication or a person 14 against whom the interception was directed. 15 (b) "Communication common carrier" has the meaning 16 given the term "common carrier" by 47 USCS 153(h) and shall also 17 mean a provider of communication services. 18 (c) "Contents," when used with respect to a wire, oral 19 20 or other communication, includes any information concerning the identity of the parties to the communication or the existence, 21 22 substance, purport or meaning of that communication. "Covert entry" means any entry into or onto 23 (d) premises which if made without a court order allowing such an 24 entry under this article would be a violation of criminal law. 25 (e) "Director" means the Director of the Bureau of 26 27 Narcotics or, if the director is absent or unable to serve, the Assistant Director of the Bureau of Narcotics. 28

G1/2

(f) "Electronic, mechanical or other device" means a
device or apparatus primarily designed or used for the
nonconsensual interception of wire, oral or other communications.

32 (g) "Intercept" means the aural or other acquisition of 33 the contents of a wire, oral or other communication through the 34 use of an electronic, mechanical or other device.

35 (h) "Investigative or law enforcement officer" means an 36 officer of this state or of a political subdivision of this state 37 who is empowered by law to conduct investigations of, or to make 38 arrests for, offenses enumerated in Section 41-29-505, or an 39 attorney authorized by law to prosecute or participate in the 40 prosecution of such offenses.

41 (i) "Judge of competent jurisdiction" means a justice42 of the Supreme Court or a circuit court judge.

(j) "Oral communication" means an oral communication uttered by a person exhibiting an expectation that the communication is not subject to interception under circumstances justifying that expectation.

(k) "Other communication" means any transfer of an
electronic or other signal, including fax signals, computer
generated signals, other similar signals, or any scrambled or
encrypted signal transferred via wire, radio, electromagnetic,
photoelectric or photooptical system from one party to another in
which the involved parties may reasonably expect the communication
to be private.

(1) "Prosecutor" means a district attorney with
jurisdiction in the county in which the facility or place where
the communication to be intercepted is located or a legal
assistant to the district attorney if designated in writing by the
district attorney on a case by case basis.

(m) "Residence" means a structure or the portion of astructure used as a person's home or fixed place of habitation to

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 2 (OM\LH) 61 which the person indicates an intent to return after any temporary 62 absence.

"Wire communication" means a communication made in 63 (n) 64 whole or in part through the use of facilities for the 65 transmission of communications by the aid of wire, cable or other 66 like connection between the point of origin and the point of 67 reception furnished or operated by a person engaged as a common 68 carrier in providing or operating the facilities for the transmission of communications and includes cordless telephones, 69 70 voice pagers, cellular telephones, any mobile telephone, or any 71 communication conducted through the facilities of a provider of 72 communication services.

73 SECTION 2. Section 41-29-503, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
74 reenacted as follows:

75 41-29-503. The contents of an intercepted wire, oral or other communication and evidence derived from an intercepted wire, 76 77 oral or other communication may not be received in evidence in any 78 trial, hearing or other proceeding in or before any court, grand 79 jury, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative 80 committee, or other authority of the United States or of this state or a political subdivision of this state if the disclosure 81 82 of that information would be in violation of this article. The contents of an intercepted wire, oral or other communication and 83 84 evidence derived from an intercepted communication may be received 85 in a civil trial, hearing or other proceeding only if the civil 86 trial, hearing or other proceeding arises out of a violation of 87 the criminal law of this state.

88 SECTION 3. Section 41-29-505, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
89 reenacted as follows:

90 41-29-505. A judge of competent jurisdiction in the circuit 91 court district of the location where the interception of wire, 92 oral or other communications is sought, or a circuit court 93 district contiguous to such circuit court district, may issue an H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 3 (OM\LH) 94 order authorizing interception of wire, oral or other

95 communications only if the prosecutor applying for the order shows 96 probable cause to believe that the interception will provide 97 evidence of the commission of a felony under the Uniform 98 Controlled Substances Law.

99 SECTION 4. Section 41-29-507, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 100 reenacted as follows:

41-29-507. (1) No person, agency of the state or political 101 102 subdivision of the state, other than the Bureau of Narcotics, is authorized by this article to own, possess, install, operate or 103 104 monitor an electronic, mechanical or other device. The Bureau of Narcotics may be assisted by an investigative or law enforcement 105 106 officer in the operation and monitoring of an interception of 107 wire, oral or other communications, provided that an agent of the Bureau of Narcotics is present at all times. 108

109 (2) The director shall designate, in writing, the agents of
110 the Bureau of Narcotics who are responsible for the possession,
111 installation, operation and monitoring of electronic, mechanical
112 or other devices for the bureau.

SECTION 5. Section 41-29-509, Mississippi Code of 1972, is reenacted as follows:

41-29-509. Prior to submitting a request for an order 115 authorizing interception of wire, oral or other communications to 116 117 a prosecutor, the director shall receive a written affidavit from 118 one or more agents of the Bureau of Narcotics setting forth the information required by Section 41-29-513(1). The director shall 119 120 submit all information required by Section 41-29-513(1) to the 121 prosecutor. Upon receipt of the request from the director, the prosecutor shall be authorized to submit an application to a court 122 of competent jurisdiction requesting the court to issue an order 123 124 authorizing interception of wire, oral or other communications as 125 provided in Section 41-29-515.

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 4 (OM\LH) 126 SECTION 6. Section 41-29-511, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 127 reenacted as follows:

(1) An investigative or law enforcement officer 128 41-29-511. 129 who, by any means authorized by this article, obtains knowledge of 130 the contents of a wire, oral or other communication or evidence 131 derived from such communication may disclose the contents or evidence to another investigative or law enforcement officer to 132 133 the extent that the disclosure is appropriate to the proper performance of the official duties of the officer making or 134 135 receiving the disclosure.

(2) An investigative or law enforcement officer who, by any
means authorized by this article, obtains knowledge of the
contents of a wire, oral or other communication or evidence
derived from such communication may use the contents or evidence
to the extent the use is appropriate to the proper performance of
his official duties.

142 A person who receives, by any means authorized by this (3) 143 article, information concerning a wire, oral or other communication or evidence derived from a wire, oral or other 144 145 communication intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this article may disclose the contents of such communication or 146 147 the evidence derived from such wire, oral or other communication while giving testimony under oath in any proceeding held under the 148 authority of the United States, of this state, or of a political 149 150 subdivision of this state.

151 (4) An otherwise privileged wire, oral or other 152 communication intercepted in accordance with, or in violation of, 153 the provisions of this article does not lose its privileged 154 character, and any evidence derived from such privileged 155 communication against the party to the privileged communication 156 shall be considered privileged also.

157 (5) When an investigative or law enforcement officer, while
 158 engaged in intercepting wire, oral or other communications in a
 H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292*

H. B. No. 399 * 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 5 (OM\LH)

manner authorized by this article, intercepts wire, oral or other 159 160 communications relating to offenses other than those specified in the order of authorization, the contents of and evidence derived 161 162 from the communication may be disclosed or used as provided by 163 subsections (1) and (2) of this section. Such contents and any 164 evidence derived therefrom may be used under subsection (3) of 165 this section when authorized by a judge of competent jurisdiction 166 where the judge finds, upon subsequent application, that the 167 contents were otherwise intercepted in accordance with the 168 provisions of this article. The application shall be made as soon 169 as practicable.

170 SECTION 7. Section 41-29-513, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 171 reenacted as follows:

41-29-513. (1) To be valid, an application for an order 172 authorizing the interception of a wire, oral or other 173 174 communication must be made in writing under oath to a judge of 175 competent jurisdiction in the circuit court district of the 176 location where the interception of wire, oral or other communications is sought, or a circuit court district contiguous 177 178 to such circuit court district, and must state the applicant's 179 authority to make the application. An applicant must include the 180 following information in the application:

(a) A statement that the application has been requested
by the director and the identity of the prosecutor making the
application;

(b) A full and complete statement of the facts and circumstances relied on by the applicant to justify his belief that an order should be issued including:

187 (i) Details about the particular offense that has188 been, is being, or is about to be committed;

(ii) A particular description of the nature and
location of the facilities from which or the place where the
communication is to be intercepted;

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 6 (OM\LH) 192 (iii) A particular description of the type of193 communication sought to be intercepted; and

(iv) The identity of the person, if known, committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted;

(c) A full and complete statement as to whether or not other investigative procedures have been tried and failed or why they reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed or to be too dangerous if tried;

(d) A statement of the period of time for which the 201 202 interception is required to be maintained and, if the nature of 203 the investigation is such that the authorization for interception 204 should not automatically terminate when the described type of 205 communication is first obtained, a particular description of the 206 facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional 207 communications of the same type will occur after the described 208 type of communication is obtained;

209 A statement whether a covert entry will be (e) necessary to properly and safely install the wiretapping or 210 211 electronic surveillance or eavesdropping equipment and, if a 212 covert entry is requested, a statement as to why such an entry is 213 necessary and proper under the facts of the particular investigation, including a full and complete statement as to 214 215 whether other investigative techniques have been tried and have 216 failed or why they reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed or to be too dangerous if tried or are not feasible under the 217 218 circumstances or exigencies of time;

(f) A full and complete statement of the facts concerning all applications known to the prosecutor making the application that have been previously made to a judge for authorization to intercept wire, oral or other communications involving any of the persons, facilities or places specified in

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 7 (OM\LH) 224 the application and of the action taken by the judge on each 225 application; and

(g) If the application is for the extension of an order, a statement setting forth the results already obtained from the interception or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain results.

(2) The judge may, in an ex parte in camera hearing, require
additional testimony or documentary evidence in support of the
application, and such testimony or documentary evidence shall be
preserved as part of the application.

234 SECTION 8. Section 41-29-515, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
235 reenacted as follows:

41-29-515. (1) Upon receipt of an application, the judge may enter an ex parte order, as requested or as modified, authorizing interception of wire, oral or other communications if the judge determines from the evidence submitted by the applicant that:

(a) There is probable cause to believe that a person is
committing, has committed, or is about to commit a particular
offense enumerated in Section 41-29-505;

(b) There is probable cause to believe that particular communications concerning that offense will be obtained through the interception;

(c) Normal investigative procedures have been tried and
have failed or reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed or to
be too dangerous if tried;

(d) There is probable cause to believe that the facilities from which or the place where the wire, oral or other communications are to be intercepted are being used or are about to be used in connection with the commission of an offense or are leased to, listed in the name of, or commonly used by the person; and

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 8 (OM\LH) (e) A covert entry is or is not necessary to properly
and safely install the electronic, mechanical or other device.
(2) Each order authorizing the interception of a wire or
oral communication shall specify:

260 (a) The identity of the person, if known, whose261 communications are to be intercepted;

(b) The nature and location of the communications
facilities as to which or the place where authority to intercept
is granted;

(c) A particular description of the type of communication sought to be intercepted and a statement of the particular offense to which it relates;

(d) A statement setting forth the identity of the
prosecutor and stating that the director has requested the
prosecutor to apply for the order authorizing the interception;

(e) The time during which the interception is authorized, including a statement of whether or not the interception will automatically terminate when the described communication is first obtained; and

(f) Whether or not a covert entry is necessary to properly and safely install wiretapping, electronic surveillance or eavesdropping equipment.

278 (3) The order authorizing the interception of a wire, oral or other communication shall, upon request of the applicant, 279 280 direct that a communication common carrier, landlord, custodian or other person furnish the applicant all information, facilities and 281 282 technical assistance necessary to accomplish the interception unobtrusively and with a minimum of interference with the services 283 284 that the carrier, landlord, custodian or other person is providing 285 the person whose communications are to be intercepted. Any communication common carrier, landlord, custodian or other person 286 287 furnishing facilities or technical assistance is entitled to

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 9 (OM\LH) 288 compensation by the applicant for the facilities or assistance at 289 the prevailing rates.

(4) An order entered pursuant to this section may not 290 291 authorize the interception of a wire, oral or other communication 292 for longer than is necessary to achieve the objective of the 293 authorization, and in no event may it authorize interception for 294 more than thirty (30) days. The issuing judge may grant 295 extensions of an order, but only upon application for an extension made in accordance with Section 41-29-513 and the court making the 296 findings required by subsection (1) of this section. 297 The period 298 of extension may not be longer than the authorizing judge deems necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is granted, and in 299 300 no event may the extension be for more than thirty (30) days. То 301 be valid, each order and extension of an order shall provide that 302 the authorization to intercept be executed as soon as practicable, 303 be conducted in a way that minimizes the interception of 304 communications not otherwise subject to interception under this 305 article, and terminate on obtaining the authorized objective or 306 within thirty (30) days, whichever occurs sooner.

307 (5) An order entered pursuant to this section may not
308 authorize a covert entry into a residence solely for the purpose
309 of intercepting a wire communication.

310 (6) An order entered pursuant to this section may not 311 authorize a covert entry into or onto a premises for the purpose 312 of intercepting an oral or other communication unless:

313 (a) The judge, in addition to making the determinations314 required under subsection (1) of this section, determines that:

(i) (A) The premises into or onto which the covert entry is authorized or the person whose communications are to be obtained has been the subject of a pen register previously authorized in connection with the same investigation; (B) the premises into or onto which the covert entry is authorized or the person whose communications are to be obtained has been the

HR03/R292

H. B. No. 399 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 10 (OM\LH) 321 subject of an interception of wire communications previously 322 authorized in connection with the same investigation; (C) that 323 such procedures have failed; and (D) if the order is for the 324 interception of other communications and requires covert entry, a 325 court-ordered attempt to intercept the communications without 326 using covert entry must have been made without success;

(ii) That the procedures enumerated in item (i) reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed or to be too dangerous if tried or are not feasible under the circumstances or exigencies of time; and

(b) The order, in addition to the matters required to be specified under subsection (2) of this section, specifies that the covert entry is for the purpose of intercepting oral communications of two (2) or more persons and that there is probable cause to believe they are committing, have committed, or are about to commit a particular offense enumerated in Section 41-29-505.

338 (7) The judge of a court of competent jurisdiction may issue 339 an order for the interception of wire, oral or other 340 communications conducted within a vehicle, vessel, other mode of 341 transportation or any location where a reasonable expectation of 342 privacy might exist, provided the requirements of this section, 343 where applicable, are met.

344 (8) Whenever an order authorizing interception is entered 345 pursuant to this article, the order may require reports to the 346 judge who issued the order showing what progress has been made 347 toward achievement of the authorized objective and the need for 348 continued interception. Reports shall be made at any interval the 349 judge requires.

350 (9) A judge who issues an order authorizing the interception 351 of a wire, oral or other communication may not hear a criminal 352 prosecution in which evidence derived from the interception may be 353 used or in which the order may be an issue.

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 11 (OM\LH) (10) An order issued pursuant to this section authorizing the interception of any cellular, portable, transportable or mobile telephone or communication instrument is valid throughout the State of Mississippi unless otherwise specified by the issuing judge.

359 **SECTION 9.** Section 41-29-517, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 360 reenacted as follows:

361 41-29-517. (1) The contents of a wire, oral or other 362 communication intercepted by means authorized by this article 363 shall be recorded on tape, wire or other comparable device. The 364 recording of the contents of a wire, oral or other communication 365 under this subsection shall be done in a way that protects the 366 recording from editing or other alterations.

367 Immediately on the expiration of the period of the order (2) and all extensions, if any, the recordings shall be made available 368 369 to the judge issuing the order and sealed under his directions. 370 Custody of the recordings shall be wherever the judge orders. The 371 recordings may not be destroyed until at least ten (10) years after the date of expiration of the order and the last extension, 372 373 if any. A recording may be destroyed only by order of the judge 374 of competent jurisdiction who authorized the interception, or his 375 successor.

376 (3) Duplicate recordings may be made for use or disclosure
377 pursuant to subsections (1) and (2) of Section 41-29-511 for
378 investigations.

(4) The presence of the seal required by subsection (2) of this section, or a satisfactory explanation of its absence, shall be a prerequisite for the use or disclosure of the contents of a wire, oral or other communication or evidence derived from the communication under subsection (3) of Section 49-29-511.

384 SECTION 10. Section 41-29-519, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 385 reenacted as follows:

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 12 (OM\LH) 386 41-29-519. The judge shall seal each application made and 387 order granted under this article. Custody of the applications and 388 orders shall be wherever the judge directs. An application or 389 order may be disclosed only upon a showing of good cause before a 390 judge of competent jurisdiction, and may not be destroyed until at 391 least ten (10) years after the date it is sealed. An application 392 or order may be destroyed only by order of the judge of competent 393 jurisdiction for the administrative judicial district in which it 394 was made or granted.

395 SECTION 11. Section 41-29-521, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
396 reenacted as follows:

397 41-29-521. A violation of Section 41-29-517 or 41-29-519398 shall be punished as contempt of court.

399 SECTION 12. Section 41-29-523, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
400 reenacted as follows:

41-29-523. (1) Within a reasonable time but not later than 401 402 ninety (90) days after the date an application for an order is 403 denied or after the date an order or the last extension, if any, 404 expires, the judge who granted or denied the application shall 405 cause to be served upon the persons named in the order or the 406 application and any other parties to intercepted communications 407 deemed appropriate by the issuing judge, if any, an inventory, which shall include notice: 408

409

410

(a) Of the entry of the order or the application;

Of the date of the entry and the period of

411 authorized interception or the date of denial of the application; 412 and

413 (c) That during the authorized period wire, oral or414 other communications were or were not intercepted.

415 (2) The judge, upon motion, may, in his discretion, make 416 available for inspection to any person or persons whose oral 417 communications have been intercepted, or their counsel, any 418 portion of an intercepted communication, application or order that H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292

```
PAGE 13 (OM\LH)
```

(b)

419 the judge determines is in the interest of justice to disclose to 420 that person.

421 (3) Upon an ex parte showing of good cause to the judge, the 422 serving of the inventory required by this section may be 423 postponed, but in no event may any evidence derived from an order 424 under this article be disclosed in any trial until after such 425 inventory has been served.

426 **SECTION 13.** Section 41-29-525, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 427 reenacted as follows:

(1) The contents of an intercepted wire, oral or 428 41-29-525. 429 other communication or evidence derived from the communication may not be received in evidence or otherwise disclosed in a trial, 430 431 hearing or other proceeding in a federal or state court unless 432 each party has been furnished with a copy of the court order and 433 application under which the interception was authorized or 434 approved not less than ten (10) days before the date of the trial, 435 hearing or other proceeding. The ten-day period may be waived by 436 the judge if he finds that it is not possible to furnish the party 437 with the information ten (10) days before the trial, hearing or 438 proceeding and that the party will not be prejudiced by the delay 439 in receiving the information.

(2) An aggrieved person charged with an offense in a trial, hearing or proceeding in or before a court, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, or other authority of the United States or of this state or a political subdivision of this state, may move to suppress the contents of an intercepted wire, oral or other communication or evidence derived from the communication on the ground that:

447 (a) The communication was unlawfully intercepted;
448 (b) The order authorizing the interception is
449 insufficient on its face; or

450 (c) The interception was not made in conformity with451 the order.

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 14 (OM\LH)

The motion to suppress shall be made before the trial, 452 (3) 453 hearing or proceeding unless there was no opportunity to make the 454 motion before the trial, hearing or proceeding, or the person was 455 not aware of the grounds of the motion before the trial, hearing 456 or proceeding. The hearing on the motion shall be held in camera 457 upon the written request of the aggrieved person. If the motion 458 is granted, the contents of the intercepted wire, oral or other 459 communication and evidence derived from the communication shall be 460 treated as inadmissible evidence. The judge, on the filing of the motion by the aggrieved person, shall make available to the 461 462 aggrieved person or his counsel for inspection any portion of the 463 intercepted communication or evidence derived from the 464 communication that the judge determines is in the interest of justice to make available. 465

466 (4) Any circuit judge of this state, upon hearing a pretrial 467 motion regarding conversations intercepted by wire pursuant to 468 this article, or who otherwise becomes informed that there exists 469 on such intercepted wire, oral or other communication 470 identification of a specific individual who is not a party or 471 suspect to the subject of interception:

472 (a) Shall give notice and an opportunity to be heard on
473 the matter of suppression of references to that person if
474 identification is sufficient so as to give notice; or

(b) Shall suppress references to that person if identification is sufficient to potentially cause embarrassment or harm which outweighs the probative value, if any, of the mention of such person, but insufficient to require the notice provided for in paragraph (a) of this subsection.

480 **SECTION 14.** Section 41-29-527, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 481 reenacted as follows:

482 41-29-527. (1) Within thirty (30) days after the date an
483 order or the last extension, if any, expires or after the denial

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 15 (OM\LH)

of an order, the issuing or denying judge shall report to the 484 Administrative Office of the United States Courts: 485 486 (a) The fact that an order or extension was applied 487 for; 488 (b) The kind of order or extension applied for; 489 (C) The fact that the order or extension was granted as 490 applied for, was modified or was denied; (d) 491 The period of interceptions authorized by the order 492 and the number and duration of any extensions of the order; The offense specified in the order or application 493 (e) 494 or extension; 495 The identity of the officer making the request and (f) 496 the prosecutor making the application; and 497 The nature of the facilities from which or the (g) 498 place where communications were to be intercepted. 499 In January of each year each prosecutor shall report to (2)the Administrative Office of the United States Courts the 500 501 following information for the preceding calendar year: 502 The information required by subsection (1) of this (a) 503 section with respect to each application for an order or extension 504 made; 505 (b) A general description of the interceptions made 506 under each order or extension, including the approximate nature and frequency of incriminating communications intercepted, the 507 508 approximate nature and frequency of order communications 509 intercepted, the approximate number of persons whose 510 communications were intercepted, and the approximate nature, amount and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the 511 interceptions; 512 513 The number of arrests resulting from interceptions (C) 514 made under each order or extension and the offenses for which 515 arrests were made; 516 The number of trials resulting from interceptions; (d) *HR03/R292* H. B. No. 399 04/HR03/R292

PAGE 16 (OM\LH)

517 (e) The number of motions to suppress made with respect 518 to interceptions and the number granted or denied;

(f) The number of convictions resulting from interceptions, the offenses for which the convictions were obtained, and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions; and

(g) The information required by paragraphs (b) through
(f) of this subsection with respect to orders or extensions
obtained.

526 (3) Any judge or prosecutor required to file a report with 527 the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall forward a copy of such report to the director. On or before 528 529 January 5 of each year the director shall submit to the 530 Mississippi Administrative Office of Courts a report of all 531 intercepts, as defined in this subsection and as required by 532 federal law which relates to statistical data only, conducted 533 pursuant to this article and terminated during the preceding 534 calendar year. Such report shall include:

535 (a) The report of judges and prosecuting attorneys536 forwarded to the director as required by this section;

537 (b) The number of Bureau of Narcotics personnel
538 authorized to possess, install or operate electronic, mechanical
539 or other devices;

(c) The number of Bureau of Narcotics and other law enforcement personnel who participated or engaged in the seizure of intercepts pursuant to this article during the preceding calendar year; and

(d) The total cost to the Bureau of Narcotics of all
activities and procedures relating to the seizure of intercepts
during the preceding calendar year, including costs of equipment,
manpower and expenses incurred as compensation for use of
facilities or technical assistance provided by the bureau.

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 17 (OM\LH) 549 **SECTION 15.** Section 41-29-529, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 550 reenacted as follows:

41-29-529. (1) A person whose wire, oral or other communication is intercepted, disclosed or used in violation of this article shall have a civil cause of action against any person who intercepts, discloses or uses or procures another person to intercept, disclose or use the communication, and is entitled to recover from the person:

(a) Actual damages but not less than liquidated damages computed at a rate of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) a day for each day of violation or One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00), whichever is higher;

561

(b) Punitive damages; and

562 (c) A reasonable attorney's fee and other litigation563 costs reasonably incurred.

564 (2) A good faith reliance on a court order is a complete
565 defense to any civil or criminal action brought under this
566 article.

567 **SECTION 16.** Section 41-29-531, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 568 reenacted as follows:

569

41-29-531. This article shall not apply to:

570 (a) An operator of a switchboard, or an officer, employee or agent of a communication common carrier whose 571 facilities are used in the transmission of a wire communication, 572 573 intercepts a communication, or who discloses or uses an 574 intercepted communication in the normal course of employment while 575 engaged in an activity that is a necessary incident to the 576 rendition of service or to the protection of the rights or property of the carrier of the communication; 577

(b) An officer, employee or agent of a communication common carrier who employs or uses any equipment or device which may be attached to any telephonic equipment of any subscriber which permits the interception and recording of any telephonic H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292

PAGE 18 (OM\LH)

582 communications solely for the purposes of business service 583 improvements;

(c) An officer, employee or agent of a communication common carrier who provides information, facilities or technical assistance to an investigative or law enforcement officer who is authorized as provided by this article to intercept a wire, oral or other communication;

(d) A person acting under color of law who intercepts a wire, oral or other communication if the person is a party to the communication, or if one (1) of the parties to the communication has given prior consent to the interception; or

(e) A person not acting under color of law who 593 intercepts a wire, oral or other communication if the person is a 594 595 party to the communication, or if one (1) of the parties to the 596 communication has given prior consent to the interception unless 597 the communication is intercepted for the purpose of committing any 598 criminal or tortious act in violation of the Constitution or laws 599 of the United States or of this state, or for the purpose of 600 committing any other injurious act.

601 SECTION 17. Section 41-29-533, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 602 reenacted as follows:

603 41-29-533. (1) Any person who knowingly and intentionally 604 possesses, installs, operates or monitors an electronic, mechanical or other device in violation of this article shall be 605 606 guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be 607 sentenced to not more than one (1) year in the county jail or 608 fined not more than Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00), or both. 609 (2) Any person who violates the provisions of Section 41-29-511 shall be guilty of a felony and, upon conviction 610 611 thereof, shall be sentenced to not more than five (5) years in the 612 State Penitentiary and fined not more than Ten Thousand Dollars

613 (\$10,000.00).

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 19 (OM\LH) 614 **SECTION 18.** Section 41-29-535, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 615 reenacted as follows:

616 41-29-535. This article shall not apply to a person who is a 617 subscriber to a telephone operated by a communication common 618 carrier and who intercepts a communication on a telephone to which 619 he subscribes. This article shall not apply to persons who are 620 members of the household of the subscriber who intercept 621 communications on a telephone in the home of the subscriber.

622 **SECTION 19.** Section 41-29-536, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 623 reenacted as follows:

624 41-29-536. (1) Attorneys for the Bureau of Narcotics may 625 file a motion with a circuit court judge of the circuit court 626 district in which the subscriber, instrument or other device 627 exists, for communication records which will be material to an 628 ongoing investigation of a felony violation of the Uniform 629 Controlled Substances Law.

The motion shall be made in writing, under oath, and 630 (2) 631 shall include the name of the subscriber, the number or numbers, and the location of the instrument or other device, if known and 632 633 applicable. The motion shall be accompanied by an affidavit from an agent of the Bureau of Narcotics which sets forth facts which 634 635 the court shall consider in determining that probable cause exists 636 to believe that the information sought will be material to an ongoing felony violation of the Uniform Controlled Substances Law. 637 638 (3) Upon consideration of the motion and the determination that probable cause exists, the circuit court judge may order a 639 640 communications common carrier as defined by 47 USCS 153(h) or a

642 Narcotics with communication billing records, call records,

643 subscriber information, or other communication record information.
644 The communications common carrier or the provider of communication
645 services shall be entitled to compensation at the prevailing rates
646 from the Bureau of Narcotics.

provider of communication services to provide the Bureau of

H. B. No. 399 *HRO3/R292* 04/HR03/R292 PAGE 20 (OM\LH)

641

647 (4) The circuit court judge shall seal each order issued 648 pursuant to this section. The contents of a motion, affidavit and 649 order may not be disclosed except in the course of a judicial 650 proceeding. Any unauthorized disclosure of a sealed order, motion 651 or affidavit shall be punishable as contempt of court.

652 **SECTION 20.** Section 41-29-537, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 653 amended as follows:

654 41-29-537. Sections 41-29-501 through 41-29-536, Mississippi
655 Code of 1972, shall stand repealed on July 1, 2006.

656 **SECTION 21**. This act shall take effect and be in force from 657 and after July 1, 2004.