REGULAR SESSION 2003

To: Judiciary A

By: Representatives Denny, Baker, Cameron, Chism, Davis, Ellington, Fillingane, Formby, Ishee, Janus, Jennings, Ketchings, Lott, Markham, Martinson, Masterson, Moore (100th), Moore (60th), Nettles, Nicholson, Reeves, Robertson, Robinson (84th), Rogers, Simpson, Snowden, Ward, Wells-Smith

HOUSE BILL NO. 1384

AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 11-11-3, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO 1 REVISE VENUE IN CIVIL ACTIONS; TO AMEND SECTION 11-1-64, 2 MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO REVISE THE INNOCENT SELLER LAW; TO 3 AMEND SECTION 11-1-65, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO REVISE THE CAP ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-1-60, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 4 5 1972, TO CAP NONECONOMIC DAMAGES IN ALL CIVIL ACTIONS; AND FOR 6 7 RELATED PURPOSES. 8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI: SECTION 1. Section 11-11-3, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 9 10 amended as follows: 11-11-3. (1) Civil actions of which the circuit court has 11 original jurisdiction shall be commenced in the county where the 12 defendant resides or in the county where the alleged act or 13 omission occurred or where the event that caused the injury 14 occurred. Venue shall be proper as to each and every named 15 defendant and plaintiff. If the venue is improper as to any 16 party, then the claims involving that party shall be severed and 17 transferred to a county where venue is proper as to such claims, 18 or dismissed without prejudice if there exists no county of proper 19 20 venue. If a civil action is brought in an improper county, such 21 (2) action may be transferred to the proper county pursuant to Section 22 11-11-17. 23 24 SECTION 2. Section 11-1-64, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 25 amended as follows: In any civil action alleging damages caused by 26 11 - 1 - 64. (1) a product, a product seller other than a manufacturer shall not be 27 28 liable for a latent defect if the seller is a mere conduit who purchased the product from a reputable manufacturer. It is the 29

30 intent of this section to insulate innocent sellers who are not

31 actively negligent from forum-driven lawsuits.

A product seller shall not be considered to have failed 32 (2)33 to exercise reasonable care with respect to a product, based upon 34 an alleged failure to inspect the product, if there was no 35 reasonable opportunity to inspect the product; or the inspection, in the exercise of reasonable care, would not have revealed that 36 37 the product was defective. Nothing in this section shall be construed to eliminate 38 (3) any common law defense to an action for damages caused by a 39

40 product.

41 ***

42 **SECTION 3.** Section 11-1-65, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 43 amended as follows:

44 11-1-65. (1) In any action in which punitive damages are 45 sought:

46 (a) Punitive damages may not be awarded if the claimant
47 does not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant
48 against whom punitive damages are sought acted with actual malice,
49 gross negligence which evidences a willful, wanton or reckless
50 disregard for the safety of others, or committed actual fraud.

51 (b) In any action in which the claimant seeks an award 52 of punitive damages, the trier of fact shall first determine 53 whether compensatory damages are to be awarded and in what amount, 54 before addressing any issues related to punitive damages.

(c) If, but only if, an award of compensatory damages has been made against a party, the court shall promptly commence an evidentiary hearing before the same trier of fact to determine whether punitive damages may be considered.

(d) The court shall determine whether the issue of
punitive damages may be submitted to the trier of fact; and, if
so, the trier of fact shall determine whether to award punitive
damages and in what amount.

H. B. No. 1384 03/HR03/R1302 PAGE 2 (CJR\LH)

In all cases involving an award of punitive 63 (e) damages, the fact finder, in determining the amount of punitive 64 damages, shall consider, to the extent relevant, the following: 65 66 the defendant's financial condition and net worth; the nature and 67 reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing, for example, the impact of the defendant's conduct on the plaintiff, or the 68 relationship of the defendant to the plaintiff; the defendant's 69 awareness of the amount of harm being caused and the defendant's 70 motivation in causing such harm; the duration of the defendant's 71 misconduct and whether the defendant attempted to conceal such 72 73 misconduct; and any other circumstances shown by the evidence that bear on determining a proper amount of punitive damages. 74 The 75 trier of fact shall be instructed that the primary purpose of punitive damages is to punish the wrongdoer and deter similar 76 77 misconduct in the future by the defendant and others while the purpose of compensatory damages is to make the plaintiff whole. 78 Before entering judgment for an award of 79 (f) (i) 80 punitive damages the trial court shall ascertain that the award is reasonable in its amount and rationally related to the purpose to 81

92 punish what occurred giving rise to the award and to deter its83 repetition by the defendant and others.

84 (ii) In determining whether the award is
85 excessive, the court shall take into consideration the following
86 factors:

1. Whether there is a reasonable relationship between the punitive damage award and the harm likely to result from the defendant's conduct as well as the harm that actually occurred;

91 2. The degree of reprehensibility of the 92 defendant's conduct, the duration of that conduct, the defendant's 93 awareness, any concealment, and the existence and frequency of 94 similar past conduct;

H. B. No. 1384 03/HR03/R1302 PAGE 3 (CJR\LH) 95 3. The financial condition and net worth of96 the defendant; and

In mitigation, the imposition of criminal 97 4. 98 sanctions on the defendant for its conduct and the existence of 99 other civil awards against the defendant for the same conduct. The seller of a product other than the manufacturer 100 (2) shall not be liable for punitive damages unless the seller 101 exercised substantial control over that aspect of the design, 102 103 testing, manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the 104 105 seller altered or modified the product, and the alteration or modification was a substantial factor in causing the harm for 106 107 which recovery of damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the defective condition of the product at the time he 108 supplied same; or the seller made an express factual 109 110 representation about the aspect of the product which caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought. 111

112 (3) In all civil actions where an entitlement to punitive damages shall have been established under applicable laws, no 113 114 award of punitive damages shall exceed the greater of three (3) times the amount of the total compensatory damages awarded to the 115 116 plaintiff in an action or Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000.00); however, if the defendant is an individual or a business with less 117 than fifty (50) full-time employees, an award of punitive damages 118 119 shall not exceed two (2) times the amount of the plaintiff's compensatory damages or Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) or 120 121 three percent (3%) of such defendant's net worth, whichever is less, unless the finder of fact and court find by clear and 122 convincing evidence that the defendant acted with criminal intent 123 to cause serious physical bodily injury. This restriction shall 124 not be disclosed to the trier of fact, but shall be applied by the 125 126 court to any punitive damages verdict.

H. B. No. 1384 03/HR03/R1302 PAGE 4 (CJR\LH)

(4) Nothing in this section shall be construed as creating a right to an award of punitive damages or to limit the duty of the court, or the appellate courts, to scrutinize all punitive damage awards, ensure that all punitive damage awards comply with applicable procedural, evidentiary and constitutional requirements, and to order remittitur where appropriate.

(5) Subsections (1) and (2) of this section shall not applyto:

135 (a) Contracts;

136

(b) Libel and slander; or

137 (c) Causes of action for persons and property arising138 out of asbestos.

139 SECTION 4. Section 11-1-60, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 140 amended as follows:

141 11-1-60. (1) For the purposes of this section, the 142 following words and phrases shall have the meanings ascribed 143 herein unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

144 (a) "Noneconomic damages" means subjective, nonpecuniary damages arising from death, pain, suffering, 145 146 inconvenience, mental anguish, worry, emotional distress, loss of 147 society and companionship, loss of consortium, bystander injury, 148 physical impairment, injury to reputation, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of the enjoyment of life, hedonic damages, 149 other nonpecuniary damages, and any other theory of damages such 150 151 as fear of loss, illness or injury. The term "noneconomic damages" shall not include damages for disfigurement, nor does it 152 153 include punitive or exemplary damages.

(b) "Actual economic damages" means objectively
verifiable pecuniary damages arising from medical expenses and
medical care, rehabilitation services, custodial care,
disabilities, loss of earnings and earning capacity, loss of
income, burial costs, loss of use of property, costs of repair or
replacement of property, costs of obtaining substitute domestic
H. B. No. 1384

H. B. No. 1384 03/HR03/R1302 PAGE 5 (CJR\LH) 160 services, loss of employment, loss of business or employment 161 opportunities, and other objectively verifiable monetary losses.

(c) "Provider of health care" means a licensed
physician, psychologist, osteopath, dentist, nurse, nurse
practitioner, physician assistant, pharmacist, podiatrist,
optometrist, chiropractor, institution for the aged or infirm,
hospital, licensed pharmacy or any legal entity which may be
liable for their acts or omissions.

(2) (a) In any <u>civil</u> action <u>compensation for the</u>
 <u>noneconomic damages suffered shall not exceed the amount of Two</u>
 Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00).

171 It is the intent of this section to limit all noneconomic 172 damages to the above.

(b) The trier of fact shall not be advised of the limitations imposed by this subsection (2) and the judge shall appropriately reduce any award of noneconomic damages that exceeds the applicable limitation.

177 * * *

SECTION 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after July 1, 2003, and shall apply to all causes of action filed on or after that date.