By: Representative Blackmon

HOUSE BILL NO. 1499

AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 11-1-65, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO
 PROVIDE THAT THE JUDGE SHALL DETERMINE THE ECONOMIC NET WORTH OF A
 DEFENDANT IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES AWARDS; AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES.
 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:
 SECTION 1. Section 11-1-65, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
 amended as follows:

7 11-1-65. (1) In any action in which punitive damages are 8 sought:

9 (a) Punitive damages may not be awarded if the claimant 10 does not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant 11 against whom punitive damages are sought acted with actual malice, 12 gross negligence which evidences a willful, wanton or reckless 13 disregard for the safety of others, or committed actual fraud.

(b) In any action in which the claimant seeks an award
of punitive damages, the trier of fact shall first determine
whether compensatory damages are to be awarded and in what amount,
before addressing any issues related to punitive damages.

18 (c) If, but only if, an award of compensatory damages 19 has been made against a party, the court shall promptly commence 20 an evidentiary hearing before the same trier of fact to determine 21 whether punitive damages may be considered.

(d) The court shall determine whether the issue of
punitive damages may be submitted to the trier of fact; and, if
so, the trier of fact shall determine whether to award punitive
damages and in what amount.

(e) In all cases involving an award of punitive
damages, the judge, in determining the amount of punitive damages,

H. B. No. 1499 02/HR07/R1603 PAGE 1 (CJR\HS) G1/2

shall consider, to the extent relevant, the following: the 28 29 defendant's financial condition and either accounting or economic net worth. In determining financial condition, the judge shall 30 consider the company's financial statements prepared in accordance 31 32 with generally acceptable accounting principles, including the 33 associated notes to the financial statements; the status of the company's assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and equity 34 35 positions; and the relationships between various financial statement items. In determining accounting net worth, the judge 36 shall consider the company's financial statements prepared in 37 accordance with generally acceptable accounting principles, 38 including the associated notes to the financial statements. In 39 40 determining economic net worth, the judge shall consider the company's financial statements prepared in accordance with 41 generally acceptable accounting principles, including the 42 associated notes to the financial statements; along with an 43 acceptable valuation method that is reasonably relied upon by 44 45 experts in the field. The judge, in determining the amount of punitive damages, shall consider the following: the nature and 46 47 reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing, for example, the impact of the defendant's conduct on the plaintiff, or the 48 49 relationship of the defendant to the plaintiff; the defendant's awareness of the amount of harm being caused and the defendant's 50 motivation in causing such harm; the duration of the defendant's 51 misconduct and whether the defendant attempted to conceal such 52 misconduct; and any other circumstances shown by the evidence that 53 54 bear on determining a proper amount of punitive damages. The trier of fact shall be instructed that the primary purpose of 55 punitive damages is to punish the wrongdoer and deter similar 56 misconduct in the future by the defendant and others while the 57 58 purpose of compensatory damages is to make the plaintiff whole. 59 (f) (i) Before entering judgment for an award of punitive damages the trial court shall ascertain that the award is 60 H. B. No. 1499

02/HR07/R1603 PAGE 2 (CJR\HS) 61 reasonable in its amount and rationally related to the purpose to 62 punish what occurred giving rise to the award and to deter its 63 repetition by the defendant and others. 64 (ii) In determining whether the award is

65 excessive, the court shall take into consideration the following 66 factors:

67 1. Whether there is a reasonable relationship
68 between the punitive damage award and the harm likely to result
69 from the defendant's conduct as well as the harm that actually
70 occurred;

71 2. The degree of reprehensibility of the 72 defendant's conduct, the duration of that conduct, the defendant's 73 awareness, any concealment, and the existence and frequency of 74 similar past conduct;

75 3. The financial condition and net worth of76 the defendant; and

4. In mitigation, the imposition of criminal
sanctions on the defendant for its conduct and the existence of
other civil awards against the defendant for the same conduct.

80 The seller of a product other than the manufacturer (a) shall not be liable for punitive damages unless the seller 81 82 exercised substantial control over that aspect of the design, testing, manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that 83 caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the 84 85 seller altered or modified the product, and the alteration or modification was a substantial factor in causing the harm for 86 87 which recovery of damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the defective condition of the product at the time he 88 supplied same; or the seller made an express factual 89 representation about the aspect of the product which caused the 90 harm for which recovery of damages is sought. 91

The provisions of Section 11-1-65 shall not apply to:

92

93

Contracts;

H. B. No. 1499 02/HR07/R1603 PAGE 3 (CJR\HS)

(2)

(a)

94 (b) Libel and slander; or

95 (c) Causes of action for persons and property arising96 out of asbestos.

97 **SECTION 2**. This act shall take effect and be in force from 98 and after July 1, 2002.