By: Representative Howell

## HOUSE BILL NO. 857

1 AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 11-1-65, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO 2 AUTHORIZE THE COURT TO USE A PORTION OF AN AWARD OF PUNITIVE 3 DAMAGES TO DEFRAY COURT COSTS; AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:
SECTION 1. Section 11-1-65, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
amended as follows:

7 11-1-65. (1) In any action in which punitive damages are 8 sought:

9 (a) Punitive damages may not be awarded if the claimant 10 does not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant 11 against whom punitive damages are sought acted with actual malice, 12 gross negligence which evidences a willful, wanton or reckless 13 disregard for the safety of others, or committed actual fraud.

(b) In any action in which the claimant seeks an award
of punitive damages, the trier of fact shall first determine
whether compensatory damages are to be awarded and in what amount,
before addressing any issues related to punitive damages.

18 (c) If, but only if, an award of compensatory damages 19 has been made against a party, the court shall promptly commence 20 an evidentiary hearing before the same trier of fact to determine 21 whether punitive damages may be considered.

(d) The court shall determine whether the issue of
punitive damages may be submitted to the trier of fact; and, if
so, the trier of fact shall determine whether to award punitive
damages and in what amount.

(e) In all cases involving an award of punitivedamages, the fact finder, in determining the amount of punitive

H. B. No. 857 02/HR07/R886 PAGE 1 (CJR\HS)

G1/2

damages, shall consider, to the extent relevant, the following: 28 29 the defendant's financial condition and net worth; the nature and reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing, for example, the 30 impact of the defendant's conduct on the plaintiff, or the 31 32 relationship of the defendant to the plaintiff; the defendant's awareness of the amount of harm being caused and the defendant's 33 motivation in causing such harm; the duration of the defendant's 34 misconduct and whether the defendant attempted to conceal such 35 misconduct; and any other circumstances shown by the evidence that 36 37 bear on determining a proper amount of punitive damages. The trier of fact shall be instructed that the primary purpose of 38 punitive damages is to punish the wrongdoer and deter similar 39 40 misconduct in the future by the defendant and others while the purpose of compensatory damages is to make the plaintiff whole. 41

(f) (i) Before entering judgment for an award of punitive damages the trial court shall ascertain that the award is reasonable in its amount and rationally related to the purpose to punish what occurred giving rise to the award and to deter its repetition by the defendant and others.

47 (ii) In determining whether the award is
48 excessive, the court shall take into consideration the following
49 factors:

50 1. Whether there is a reasonable relationship 51 between the punitive damage award and the harm likely to result 52 from the defendant's conduct as well as the harm that actually 53 occurred;

54 2. The degree of reprehensibility of the 55 defendant's conduct, the duration of that conduct, the defendant's 56 awareness, any concealment, and the existence and frequency of 57 similar past conduct;

58 3. The financial condition and net worth of59 the defendant; and

H. B. No. 857 02/HR07/R886 PAGE 2 (CJR\HS)

In mitigation, the imposition of criminal 60 4. sanctions on the defendant for its conduct and the existence of 61 other civil awards against the defendant for the same conduct. 62 63 The seller of a product other than the manufacturer (q) 64 shall not be liable for punitive damages unless the seller exercised substantial control over that aspect of the design, 65 testing, manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that 66 caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the 67 seller altered or modified the product, and the alteration or 68 modification was a substantial factor in causing the harm for 69 70 which recovery of damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the defective condition of the product at the time he 71 72 supplied same; or the seller made an express factual representation about the aspect of the product which caused the 73 harm for which recovery of damages is sought. 74 The provisions of Section 11-1-65 shall not apply to: (2)75 76 (a) Contracts; 77 (b) Libel and slander; or Causes of action for persons and property arising 78 (C) 79 out of asbestos. The court is authorized to use a portion of an award of 80 (3) punitive damages to defray the costs of the court incurred in the 81 course of the trial. 82 SECTION 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from 83 84 and after July 1, 2002.